

CHECKLIST FOR THE USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI) FOR AUTHORS

Authors must confirm that they have read and comply with the Editorial Policy on AI.

1. Declaration and Transparency

- No AI tools were used in the manuscript.
- AI tools were used in the manuscript and:
 - I have explicitly declared the use of AI tools in the manuscript.
 - I have indicated the name of the tool and, where possible, the version.
 - I have clearly described the purpose for which AI was used (e.g. linguistic revision, analytical support, preliminary organisation).
 - I have confirmed that all content has been reviewed, validated and assumed by the human authors.

2. Authorship and Responsibility

- No AI tool has been listed as an author or co-author.
- I acknowledge that the human authors assume full responsibility for the text, data, analyses, interpretations and references.

3. Use of AI in Writing and Scientific Content

- AI was not used to fabricate data, empirical results or conclusions.
- Any text generated or reformulated with the support of AI has been critically reviewed by the authors.
- All bibliographical references have been manually verified (there are no fictitious or inaccurate references).

4. AI as Part of the Method (answer only where applicable)

- The use of AI as part of the method has been epistemologically justified.
- The procedures have been described in a clear and transparent manner.
- Limitations, potential biases and human interpretative decisions have been explicitly stated.

5. Qualitative Research, Interviews and Ethnography (answer only where applicable)

AI did not have access to full interview transcripts, field notes, ethnographic diaries or participant observations.

AI was not used to generate discourse, empirical narratives or simulated ethnographic descriptions.

Any AI support to qualitative analysis (e.g. exploratory suggestions of codes or themes) was:

Described in the Methodology section;

Interpreted and validated by the researchers;

Used in accordance with the terms of informed consent.

6. Data Protection and Ethics

No personal, sensitive or identifiable data were entered into AI tools.

The use of AI is compatible with the ethical standards of sociological research and with the applicable data protection legislation.

Final Statement

I confirm that I have read and comply with the Editorial Policy on the Use of Artificial Intelligence of the journal *SOCIOLOGIA ON LINE*, aligned with the principles of COPE (Promoting integrity in scholarly research and its publication).

Name:

Date:

Signature:

Editorial note

This checklist is intended to promote transparency, scientific integrity and the ethical protection of research participants, particularly in sociological studies based on sensitive qualitative data.